

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 3624 Appellant: OTERO et al. Examiner: Daniel S. Felten Application Serial No.: 09/845,138 **SECOND APPEAL BRIEF** Filing Date: April 30, 2001 APPARATUS, METHODS AND Attorney Docket No.: G08.052 For: ARTICLES OF MANUFACTURE Buckley, Maschoff & Talwalkar LLC FOR CONSTRUCTING AND 5 Elm Street EXECUTING COMPUTERIZED New Canaan, CT 06840 TRANSACTION PROCESSES AND (203) 972-0006 **PROGRAMS**

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria,

VA 22313-1450, on the date noted below:

ted: September , 2006 By:

Edith Martin

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Appellants hereby appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the decision of the Examiner in the Final Office Action mailed April 8, 2004 (the "Final Office Action"), rejecting claims 6-13 and 18-28 and from the supplemental Office Action mailed July 3, 2006 (the "Supplemental Office Action"), which rejected claims 33-45.

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The present application is assigned to GOLDMAN, SACHS & COMPANY, 85 Broad Street, New York, New York.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

No other appeals or interferences are known to Appellants, Appellants' legal representative, or assignee, which will directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 6-13 and 18-28 and 33-45 are pending and stand rejected.

Claims 1-5, 14-17 and 29-32 have been canceled.

STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments were filed after final rejection.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Claim_6

The present invention is concerned with a computer-based securities-trading systems (specification, page 5, lines 13-16). More specifically, the present invention addresses the high cost and labor-intensive nature of conventional programming techniques employed for computerized trading systems (specification, page 2, lines 12-16).

Claim 6 is an independent apparatus claim directed to an "apparatus for computerized trading" (FIG. 10) The claimed apparatus includes "a first algorithm plug-in for implementing a first trading strategy" (FIGS. 1 and 2; specification, page 7, lines 5-10, page 9, lines 4-10, and

page 11, lines 3-18). The apparatus recited in claim 6 further includes "a first market plug-in for carrying out trades in a first market" (FIGS. 1 and 2; specification, page 7, lines 12-15 and page 8, line 21 to page 9, line 3). The apparatus recited in claim 6 also includes "an engine for providing services to said first algorithm plug-in and said first market plug-in" (item 10, FIGS. 1 and 2; specification, page 7, line 19), "whereby said first algorithm plug-in and said first market plug-in are implemented in said engine in order to execute a trade" (specification, page 8, lines 7 and 22-24, page 9, lines 4-10 and 16-23).

In addition, the apparatus of claim 6 includes "a second algorithm plug-in for implementing a second trading strategy that is different from said first trading strategy" (specification, page 9, lines 20-23, and page 11, lines 13-18) and "a second market plug-in for carrying out trades in a second market that is different from said first market" (specification, page 8, line 21 to page 9, line 3). Claim 6 further recites "whereby either of said second algorithm plug-in and said second market plug-in may be substituted for either of said first algorithm plug-in or said first market plug-in respectively, in said engine, in order to execute a trade" (specification, page 5, line 22 to page 6, line 1, page 9, lines 6-10), and "wherein each of said plug-ins and said engine are comprised of one or more object classes" (specification, page 6, lines 5-7).

Claim 18

Claim 18 is an independent claim directed to a method for computerized trading. Claim 18 recites providing items recited in claim 6 and other steps also recited in claim 6. This honorable Board is respectfully referred to the above discussion of claim 6 in regard to the corresponding description in the disclosure of this application.

Claim 33

Claim 33 is directed to a "method for computerized trading" (specification, page 6, lines 19-21). The method recited in claim 33 includes "providing a plurality of algorithm plug-ins,

each of the algorithm plug-ins for implementing a respective trading strategy from a plurality of trading strategies, all of the trading strategies being different from each other" (FIGS. 1 and 2; specification, page 7, lines 5-10 and page 11, lines 3-18) and "providing a plurality of market plug-ins, each of the market plug-ins for implementing rules for a respective market from a plurality of markets, all of the markets being different from each other" (FIGS. 1 and 2; specification, page 7, lines 12-15 and page 8, line 21 to page 9, line 3). The method recited in claim 33 also includes "selecting one of the algorithm plug-ins" (FIGS. 1, 2, 4 and 6-9; specification, page 8, lines 7-8 and page 9, lines 4-12) and "selecting one of the market plug-ins" (FIGS. 1, 2, 7-9; specification, page 8, line 21). The method recited in claim 33 further includes "configuring an engine with the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and with the selected one of the market plug-ins" (FIGS. 1, 2, 6 and 7; specification, page 5, line 20 to page 6, line 1). Claim 33 also recites that the engine is "for providing to the selected one of the algorithm plugins access to market data and for sending orders on behalf of the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and for receiving notification of executions of orders on behalf of the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins" (specification; page 7, lines 19-23). In addition, the method recited in claim 33 includes "using the configured engine to carry out trades in accordance with the trading strategy implemented by the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and in accordance with market rules implemented by the selected one of the market plug-ins" (FIGS. 8 and 9; specification, page 21, lines 12-14, page 5, line 22 to page 6, line 3 and page 9, line 10 to page 10, line 2). Finally, claim 33 recites that "each of said plug-ins and said engine comprise one or more object classes" (specification, page 6, lines 5-7 and claims 1 and 6 as originally filed).

Claim 34 (dependent on claim 33; also argued separately)

Claim 34 recites the additional limitations, "a first one of said market plug-ins implements a first limit on trading volume and a second one of said market plug-ins implements a second limit on trading volume, the second limit being different from the first limit" (specification, page 8, line 21 to page 9, line 1).

Claim 35 (dependent on claim 33; also argued separately)

Claim 35 recites the additional limitations that the plurality of trading strategies implemented respectively by the algorithm plug-ins include at least two of the following strategies: (a) a volume-weighted-average-price strategy; (b) a ratio strategy in which a first instrument is bought and a related instrument is sold in response to a certain ratio between respective prices of the first instrument and the related instrument; (c) a hedging strategy; (d) a short selling strategy; (e) a stop loss strategy; (f) an "iceberg" strategy in which a part that is less than all of an order is sent to market at any given time; and (g) an auto trader strategy to determine whether a trade is to be sent to market or filled from an account (specification, page 8, lines 7-20 and page 11, lines 3-18).

Claim 40

Claim 40 is an independent claim directed to an apparatus for computerized trading. Claim 40 recites the same plurality of algorithm plug-ins, plurality of market plug-ins and engine that are recited in claim 33. Claim 40 also, like claim 33, recites that each of the plug-ins and the engine comprise one or more object classes. This honorable Board is respectfully referred to the above discussion of claim 33 in regard to the corresponding description in the disclosure of this application.

Claim 41 (dependent on claim 40; also separately argued)

Claim 41 recites the same limitations set forth in claim 34; this honorable Board is respectfully referred to the above discussion of claim 34 in regard to the corresponding description in the disclosure of this application.

Claim 42 (dependent on claim 40; also separately argued)

Claim 42 recites the same limitations set forth in claim 35. This honorable Board is respectfully referred to the above discussion of claim 35 in regard to the corresponding description in the disclosure of this application.

Claim 45

Claim 45 is an independent article of manufacture claim directed to a computer-readable signal bearing medium and means therein for performing the steps recited in claim 33. This honorable Board is respectfully referred to the above discussion of claim 33 in regard to the corresponding description in the disclosure of this application.

GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 6-13, 18-28 and 33-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breen et al. (hereinafter "Breen"), U.S. Patent No. 6,615,188, in view of Young, U.S. Patent No. 6,377,939.

ARGUMENT

It is appellants' main contention that the Examiner has failed to establish *prima* facie obviousness of the claimed invention, because at least some limitations of the claims are not taught or suggested by the prior art--the Breen and Young references-relied upon by the Examiner. Appellants rely on the authority of *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974), cited in MPEP § 2143.03 (entitled "All Claim Limitations Must Be Taught or Suggested"). Even if the teachings of Breen and Young are combined as proposed by the Examiner, the resulting system would lack some of the limitations recited in the independent claims. As will be seen, the missing limitations include two algorithm plug-ins for implementing mutually different trading strategies, and two market plug-ins for carrying out trades in mutually different markets.

I. <u>Claims 6-13 and 18-28 are patentable over the combination of the Breen and Young</u> <u>references</u>

Appellants believe that the basic flaw in the Examiner's rejection of claims 6-13 and 18-28 is that the Examiner has ascribed to the Breen reference teachings that in fact are not present therein.

The invention allows software for securities trading to be customized by importing plugins to an engine infrastructure as desired to implement a particular trading strategy in a particular market. (Specification, page 7, lines 5-10, page 8, line 21 to page 9, line 3.) Claim 6 is taken as representative of this group of claims. Claim 6 recites a first algorithm plug-in for implementing a first trading strategy and a second algorithm plug-in for implementing a second trading strategy that is different from the first trading strategy. Claim 6 further recites a first market plug-in for carrying out trades in a first market and a second market plug-in for carrying out trades in a second market that is different from the first market. Either of the second algorithm plug-in and the second market plug-in may be substituted for either of the first algorithm plug-in or the first market plug-in respectively in an engine which provides services to the first algorithm plug-in and the first market plug-in to execute a trade.

Before discussing in detail the Examiner's reliance on the Breen and Young references, appellants will first generally describe the nature of the systems described in those references.

Breen discloses an online trading system in which orders are collected and aggregated into larger orders to be presented to a securities exchange (Abstract; column 5, lines 35-43). Breen's system includes a trading server 14 (FIG. 1; column 8, lines 6-8 and 18-20) and order terminals 12 connected at least temporarily to the trading server (FIG. 1; column 8, lines 6-9; column 7, lines 41-51). The order terminals may be used by investors to place orders with the trading server (column 7, lines 41-45, 63-66, 5-8). The trading server (via its constituent transaction server 50) aggregates orders from investors and places the resulting combined orders with a securities exchange for execution (column 7, line 66 to column 8, line 5; column 12, lines 2-7 and 30-33; column 7, lines 7-8).

Young discloses a system for metering and billing telecommunication services (column 4, lines 28-39). The software for this system is constructed out of processing modules, referred to as "plug-ins", that operate under the control of an execution management framework (column 2, lines 42-58; FIG. 4, item 425). The plug-ins described in Young calculate "property" values for "sessions" (column 10, lines 42-45). The term "session" refers to the use of a service (column 4, lines 10-12). The term "property" refers to a quantity that may be metered for a particular service, such as duration of the session or quantity of data transmitted (column 4, lines 16-24).

The Examiner's comparison of features of the Breen and Young references with the language of claim 6 is very terse and does not strictly follow the specific language of claim 6. Nevertheless, as best understood, the Examiner apparently asserts that Breen discloses Java applets that perform the functions of the algorithm and market plug-ins recited in claim 6. The Examiner concedes that Breen does not disclose algorithm plug-ins and market plug-ins per se, but the Examiner goes on to assert that the teachings of the Young reference in regard to plug-ins would have made it obvious to substitute plug-ins for the applets disclosed in Breen. However, appellants note that the applets mentioned in the Breen reference do not provide the functions recited in claim 6 with respect to the first and second algorithm plug-ins and the first and second market plug-ins.

Because of the heavy reliance placed by the Examiner on the "applets" mentioned in the Breen reference, the relevant passage (column 7, lines 56-62) is set forth verbatim below:

The software operating the order terminal function on a general purpose personal computer might be a browser that displays HTML (HyperText Markup Language), JavaScript, JAVATM Server Pages and/or JAVATM applets communicates with server software running on the trading server using the well-known HyperText Transport Protocol (HTTP) or a variation thereof.²

In appellants' view, what is crucial about this passage is that it does not specify that one applet is for implementing a first trading strategy and a second applet is for implementing a second trading strategy that is different from the first trading strategy. Neither does this passage specify that the applets include an applet for carrying out trades in a first market and another applet for carrying out trades in a second market that is different from the first market. Thus, substituting plug-ins for the applets referred to in the Breen reference would not result in the claimed apparatus having a first algorithm plug-in for implementing a first trading strategy, a second algorithm plug-in for implementing a second trading strategy that is different from the first trading strategy, a first market plug-in for carrying out trades in a first market and a second market plug-in for carrying out trades in a second market that is different from the first market.

See page 3, first full paragraph, of the Final Office Action.

² It is noted that this sentence does not scan properly and it is believed that a proper reading of this sentence calls for the word "and" to be inserted just before the word "communicates".

Thus, the Breen reference fails to disclose limitations recited in claim 6, and the deficiencies of the Breen reference are not compensated for by the teachings of the Young reference. It is therefore respectfully requested that this honorable Board reverse the rejection of claims 6-13 and 18-28.

II. Claims 33-45 are patentable over the Breen and Young references

Claim 33 is taken to be representative of the claims of this group.

Claim 33 is an independent claim directed to a method for computerized trading. The recited method includes providing a plurality of algorithm plug-ins each for implementing a respective trading strategy from a plurality of trading strategies, with all of the trading strategies being different from each other. The recited method further includes providing a plurality of market plug-ins each for implementing rules for a respective market from a plurality of markets, with all of the markets being different from each other. The recited method also includes configuring an engine with a selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and with a selected one of the market plug-ins, where the engine is for providing to the selected algorithm plug-in access to market data. The engine is also for sending orders on behalf of the selected algorithm plug-in and for receiving notification of execution of orders on behalf of the selected algorithm plug-in.

As noted above, the Breen reference fails to disclose a plurality of applets each for implementing a respective trading strategy. Moreover, Breen also fails to disclose a plurality of applets each for implementing rules for a respective market. Thus, even if plug-ins were substituted for the applets mentioned in the Breen reference, the resulting system would not result in the method recited in claim 33.

Moreover, neither Breen nor Young discloses an engine that provides to a selected algorithm plug-in (or applet) access to market data. Still further, neither reference discloses an engine that sends orders on behalf of a selected algorithm plug-in or applet, nor an engine that receives notification of execution of orders on behalf of a selected algorithm plug-in or applet.

To summarize appellants' contentions in regard to claim 33, the Breen and Young references, even taken in combination, fail to teach or suggest several limitations recited in the claim. It is therefore respectfully requested that this honorable Board find that claims 33-45 are patentable over the prior art relied upon by the Examiner.

III. Separate Argument in Support of Claims 34 and 41

Claim 34 adds to the method of claim 33 the additional limitations that one of the market plug-ins implements a first limit on trading volume and another one of the market plug-ins implements a second limit on trading volume, where the second limit is different from the first limit.³ Appellants point out that neither of the Breen and Young references discloses even one market plug-in or applet that implements a limit on trading volume. Accordingly, claim 34 is believed to be allowable on grounds that are independent of the grounds argued above in regard to claim 33.

IV. Separate Argument in Support of Claims 35-37 and 42-44

Claim 35 adds to the method recited in claim 33 the additional limitation that the plurality of trading strategies respectively implemented by the algorithm plug-ins include at least two of the following: (a) a volume-weighted-average-price strategy; (b) a ratio strategy in which a first instrument is bought and a related instrument is sold in response to a certain ratio between respective prices of the first instrument and the related instrument; (c) a hedging strategy; (d) a short selling strategy; (e) a stop loss strategy; (f) an "iceberg" strategy in which a part that is less than all of an order is sent to market at any given time; and (g) an auto trader strategy to determine whether a trade is to be sent to market or filled from an account (specification, page 8, lines 7-20 and page 11, lines 3-18).⁴

Appellants note that the Breen reference fails to disclose a system which implements two or more of these trading strategies. Young, on the other hand, is not concerned at all with trading strategies. It is therefore submitted that the claims in this group would be allowable even if claims 33 and 40 were not.

³ Claim 41 adds the same limitations to its parent claim 40.

⁴ Claim 42 adds the same limitations to its parent claim 40. Claims 36 and 37 are dependent on claim 35. Claims 43 and 44 are dependent on claim 42.

CONCLUSION

The rejections appealed from are improper at least because the references relied upon by the Examiner fail to teach or suggest at least some element of every claim. Therefore, Appellants respectfully request that the Examiner's rejections be reversed.

This Brief is filed contemporaneously with the Second Notice of Appeal herein. It is believed that no fee is due in regard to this Brief in view of the \$500.00 fee paid upon filing of the first Appeal Brief on January 13, 2005. However, if any fees are due in conjunction with this matter, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge them to Deposit Account 50-1852. An Appendix of claims involved in this appeal is attached hereto.

If any issues remain, or if the Examiner or the Board has any further suggestions for expediting allowance of the present application, kindly contact the undersigned using the information provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

September , 2006

Date

Nathaniel Levin

Registration No. 34,860

Buckley, Maschoff & Talwalkar LLC

Five Elm Street

New Canaan, CT 06840

(203) 972-3460

Attachment: Appendix of claims

APPENDIX A - CLAIMS

- 6. An apparatus for computerized trading comprising:
 - a first algorithm plug-in for implementing a first trading strategy,
 - a first market plug-in for carrying out trades in a first market,
 - an engine for providing services to said first algorithm plug-in and said first market plug-in, whereby said first algorithm plug-in and said first market plug-in are implemented in said engine in order to execute a trade,
 - a second algorithm plug-in for implementing a second trading strategy that is different from said first trading strategy,
 - a second market plug-in for carrying out trades in a second market that is different from said first market,

whereby either of said second algorithm plug-in and said second market plug-in may be substituted for either of said first algorithm plug-in or said first market plug-in respectively, in said engine, in order to execute a trade, and wherein each of said plug-ins and said engine are comprised of one or more object classes.

- 7. An apparatus as in claim 6 wherein said implementation of said plug-ins further comprises implementation of at least one parameterized plug-in.
- 8. An apparatus as in claim 6 wherein said plug-ins are selected from a predetermined group of plug-ins.
- 9. An apparatus as in claim 6 wherein said algorithm plug-ins further comprise events and actions.

- 10. An apparatus as in claim 9 wherein said events and actions are selected from a predetermined group of events and actions.
- 11. An apparatus as in claim 10 wherein said events and actions comprise Java classes.
- 12. An apparatus as in claim 6 wherein said second algorithm plug-in is comprised of a modified third algorithm plug-in.
- 13. An apparatus as in claim 12 wherein said third algorithm plug-in is comprised of said first algorithm plug-in.
- 18. A method for computerized trading comprising:
 - providing a first algorithm plug-in for implementing a first trading strategy,
 - providing a first market plug-in for carrying out trades in a first market,
 - providing an engine for providing services to either of said first algorithm plug-in or said first market plug-in,
 - implementing said first algorithm plug-in and said first market plug-in in said engine,
 - providing a second algorithm plug-in for implementing a second trading strategy that is different from said first trading strategy,
 - providing a second market plug-in for carrying out trades in a second market that is different from said first market, and
 - substituting either of said second algorithm plug-in or said second market plug-in for either of said first algorithm plug-in or said first market plug-in respectively, in said engine, in order to execute a trade, and wherein each of said plug-ins and said engine are comprised of one or more object classes.

- 19. A method as in claim 18 wherein the step of implementing said first algorithm plug-in and said first market plug-in in said engine further comprises implementing at least one parameterized plug-in.
- 20. A method as in claim 18 wherein the step of substituting either of said second algorithm plug-in or said second market plug-in for either of said first algorithm plug-in or said said first market plug-in respectively, in said engine, in order to execute a trade, further comprises parameterizing the substituted plug-in.
- 21. A method as in claim 18 further comprising the step of selecting said plug-ins from a predetermined group of plug-ins.
- 22. A method as in claim 18 further comprising the step of constructing said algorithm plugins from a group of events and actions.
- 23. A method as in claim 22 further comprising the step of selecting said events and actions from a predetermined group of events and actions.
- 24. A method as in claim 22 further comprising the step of selecting said plug-ins from a predetermined group of said events and actions comprised of Java classes.
- 25. A method as in claim 18 further comprising the step of modifying a third algorithm plugin to construct, at least in part, said second algorithm plugin.
- 26. A method as in claim 25 wherein said third algorithm plug-in is comprised of said first algorithm plug-in.

- 27. The algorithm plug-in produced by the method of claim 22.
- 28. The plug-in produced by the method of claim 25.
- 33. A method for computerized trading, comprising:

providing a plurality of algorithm plug-ins, each of the algorithm plug-ins for implementing a respective trading strategy from a plurality of trading strategies, all of the trading strategies being different from each other;

providing a plurality of market plug-ins, each of the market plug-ins for implementing rules for a respective market from a plurality of markets, all of the markets being different from each other;

selecting one of the algorithm plug-ins;

selecting one of the market plug-ins;

configuring an engine with the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and with the selected one of the market plug-ins, the engine being for providing to the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins access to market data and for sending orders on behalf of the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and for receiving notification of executions of orders on behalf of the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins; and

using the configured engine to carry out trades in accordance with the trading strategy implemented by the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and in accordance with market rules implemented by the selected one of the market plug-ins;

wherein each of said plug-ins and said engine comprise one or more object classes.

34. A method as in claim 33, wherein a first one of said market plug-ins implements a first limit on trading volume and a second one of said market plug-ins implements a second limit on trading volume, the second limit being different from the first limit.

- 35. A method as in claim 33, wherein the plurality of trading strategies implemented respectively by said algorithm plug-ins comprise at least two of the group of trading strategies consisting of: (a) a volume-weighted-average-price strategy; (b) a ratio strategy in which a first instrument is bought and a related instrument is sold in response to a certain ratio between respective prices of the first instrument and the related instrument; (c) a hedging strategy; (d) a short selling strategy; (e) a stop loss strategy; (f) an "iceberg" strategy in which a part that is less than all of an order is sent to market at any given time; and (g) an auto trader strategy to determine whether a trade is to be sent to market or filled from an account.
- 36. A method as in claim 35, wherein the plurality of trading strategies implemented respectively by said algorithm plug-ins comprise at least three of the group of trading strategies consisting of: (a) a volume-weighted-average-price strategy; (b) a ratio strategy in which a first instrument is bought and a related instrument is sold in response to a certain ratio between respective prices of the first instrument and the related instrument; (c) a hedging strategy; (d) a short selling strategy; (e) a stop loss strategy; (f) an "iceberg" strategy in which a part that is less than all of an order is sent to market at any given time; and (g) an auto trader strategy to determine whether a trade is to be sent to market or filled from an account.
- 37. A method as in claim 36, wherein the plurality of trading strategies implemented respectively by said algorithm plug-ins comprise at least four of the group of trading strategies consisting of: (a) a volume-weighted-average-price strategy; (b) a ratio strategy in which a first instrument is bought and a related instrument is sold in response to a certain ratio between respective prices of the first instrument and the related instrument; (c) a hedging strategy; (d) a short selling strategy; (e) a stop loss strategy; (f) an "iceberg" strategy in which a part that is less than all of an order is sent to market at any given time; and (g) an auto trader strategy to determine whether a trade is to be sent to market or filled from an account.

- 38. A method as in claim 33, further comprising:

 parameterizing the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins to execute at least one trade.
- 39. A method as in claim 33, wherein the selecting of one of the algorithm plug-ins includes selecting a selection from a pull-down menu.
- 40. An apparatus for computerized trading comprising:

a plurality of algorithm plug-ins, each of the algorithm plug-ins for implementing a respective trading strategy from a plurality of trading strategies, all of the trading strategies being different from each other;

a plurality of market plug-ins, each of the market plug-ins for implementing rules for a respective market from a plurality of markets, all of the markets being different from each other;

an engine configured with a selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and with a selected one of the market plug-ins, the engine being for:

providing to the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins access to market data; sending orders on behalf of the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins;

receiving notification of executions of orders on behalf of the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins; and

carrying out trades in accordance with the trading strategy implemented by the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and in accordance with market rules implemented by the selected one of the market plug-ins;

wherein each of said plug-ins and said engine comprise one or more object classes.

41. An apparatus as in claim 40, wherein a first one of said market plug-ins implements a first limit on trading volume and a second one of said market plug-ins implements a second limit on trading volume, the second limit being different from the first limit.

- 42. An apparatus as in claim 40, wherein the plurality of trading strategies implemented respectively by said algorithm plug-ins comprise at least two of the group of trading strategies consisting of: (a) a volume-weighted-average-price strategy; (b) a ratio strategy in which a first instrument is bought and a related instrument is sold in response to a certain ratio between respective prices of the first instrument and the related instrument; (c) a hedging strategy; (d) a short selling strategy; (e) a stop loss strategy; (f) an "iceberg" strategy in which a part that is less than all of an order is sent to market at any given time; and (g) an auto trader strategy to determine whether a trade is to be sent to market or filled from an account.
- 43. An apparatus as in claim 42, wherein the plurality of trading strategies implemented respectively by said algorithm plug-ins comprise at least three of the group of trading strategies consisting of: (a) a volume-weighted-average-price strategy; (b) a ratio strategy in which a first instrument is bought and a related instrument is sold in response to a certain ratio between respective prices of the first instrument and the related instrument; (c) a hedging strategy; (d) a short selling strategy; (e) a stop loss strategy; (f) an "iceberg" strategy in which a part that is less than all of an order is sent to market at any given time; and (g) an auto trader strategy to determine whether a trade is to be sent to market or filled from an account.
- An apparatus as in claim 43, wherein the plurality of trading strategies implemented respectively by said algorithm plug-ins comprise at least four of the group of trading strategies consisting of: (a) a volume-weighted-average-price strategy; (b) a ratio strategy in which a first instrument is bought and a related instrument is sold in response to a certain ratio between respective prices of the first instrument and the related instrument; (c) a hedging strategy; (d) a short selling strategy; (e) a stop loss strategy; (f) an "iceberg" strategy in which a part that is less than all of an order is sent to market at any given time; and (g) an auto trader strategy to determine whether a trade is to be sent to market or filled from an account.

45. An article for executing computerized trading comprising:

a computer-readable signal bearing medium;

means in the medium for providing a plurality of algorithm plug-ins, each of the algorithm plug-ins for implementing a respective trading strategy from a plurality of trading strategies, all of the trading strategies being different from each other;

means in the medium for providing a plurality of market plug-ins, each of the market plug-ins for implementing rules for a respective market from a plurality of markets, all of the markets being different from each other;

means in the medium for selecting one of the algorithm plug-ins;

means in the medium for selecting one of the market plug-ins;

means in the medium for configuring an engine with the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and with the selected one of the market plug-ins, the engine being for providing to the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins access to market data and for sending orders on behalf of the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and for receiving notification of executions of orders on behalf of the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins; and

means in the medium for using the configured engine to carry out trades in accordance with the trading strategy implemented by the selected one of the algorithm plug-ins and in accordance with market rules implemented by the selected one of the market plug-ins;

wherein each of said plug-ins and said engine comprise one or more object classes.

APPENDIX B - EVIDENCE

No evidence is being submitted with this Appeal Brief (i.e., this appendix is empty).

APPENDIX C - RELATED PROCEEDINGS

No prior or pending appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings are known to Applicants, Applicants' legal representative, or assignee, which may be related to, directly affect, be directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal. Therefore, there are no copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board to attach (*i.e.*, this appendix is empty).

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.